Case 3:16-cv-06660-JST Document 35 Filed 03/02/17 Page 1 of 4

1 2	J. Leah Castella (SBN 205990) E-mail: lcastella@bwslaw.com Benjamin L. Stock (SBN 208774)		FILING FEE EXEMPT PURSUANT TO GOVERNMENT CODE § 6103	
3	E-mail: bstock@bwslaw.com BURKE, WILLIAMS & SORENSEN, LLP			
4	1901 Harrison Street, Suite 900 Oakland, CA 94612-3501			
5	Tel: 510.273.8780 Fax: 510.839.9104			
6	Attorneys for Defendant CITY OF EMERYVILLE			
7	Paul L. More (SBN 228589			
8	E-mail: pmore@msh.law McCRACKEN, STEMERMAN & HOLSBERRY, LLP 595 Market Street, Suite 800			
9	San Francisco, CA 94105 Tel: 415.597.7200 Fax: 415.597.7201			
10				
11	Attorneys for Intervenor UNITE HERE LOCAL 2850			
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
13	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
14				
15	CALIFORNIA RESTAURANT ASSOCIATION, a California nonprofit	Case No. 3:16-cv-06660-JST STIPULATION EXTENDING PAGE LIMIT FOR DEFENDANTS' JOINT REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO DISMISS PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT; PROPOSED		
16	mutual benefit corporation,		FENDANTS' JOINT REPLY IN T OF MOTION TO DISMISS	
17	Plaintiff,			
18	V.	ORDER	IF 5 COMILARY, I ROTOSED	
19	CITY OF EMERYVILLE, a California municipal corporation,	Date: Time:	March 23, 2017 2:00 p.m.	
20	Defendant,	Place:	Courtroom 9 – 19 th Floor	
21	UNITE HERE LOCAL 2850, an			
22	unincorporated association,			
23	Intervenor-Defendant.			
24				
25	Plaintiff California Restaurant Association ("CRA") and Defendants City of Emeryville			
26	("Emeryville") and Unite Here Local 2850 ("Local 2850) (collectively, "the Parties") hereby			
27	stipulate and agree that: 1) Emeryville and Local 2850 may file a Joint Reply in Support of			
28	Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint in excess of the fifteen (15) page limitation set forth in			
MS &			OTHER LATION EVENING BACK A STATE	

Case 3:16-cv-06660-JST Document 35 Filed 03/02/17 Page 2 of 4

Civil Local Rule 7-4(b), but not to exceed thirty (30) pages in length; and 2) CRA may file a Supplemental Reply by March 3, 2017 to address the Exhibits to the Declaration of Mr. Huber, which were inadvertently omitted from the Huber Declaration when initially filed and served, and are reflected in Emeryville's Notice of Errata to Declaration of Wei-Ling Huber in Support of City of Emeryville's Opposition to Motion for Preliminary Injunction (Document No. 28-1). This Stipulation is made pursuant to Rules 7-3, 7-11, 7-12 of the United States District Court for the Northern District California.

There is good cause for the Stipulation to extend the page limit on the grounds that this case involves several numerous complex and novel legal issues. Emeryville and Local 2850 are filing a Joint Reply, and require more than fifteen (15) pages to sufficiently address all the arguments raised. As background, Emeryville's points and authorities in support of its Motion to Dismiss total twenty-five (25) pages. Local 2850 also filed a brief totaling twenty-four (24) pages in support of the Motion to Dismiss. Plaintiff's Opposition to Emeryville's Motion to Dismiss totals thirty-five (35) pages. The extended page limit will also serve the interests of judicial economy and efficiency because there is overlap between the arguments advanced by both Emeryville and Local 2850. Thus, filing one Joint Reply, rather than two separate briefs, will decrease paperwork and will ultimately serve to narrow the issues in this case.

Because Emeryville and Local 2850 require more than 15 pages to sufficiently address all arguments raised by CRA, the Parties therefore agree that Emeryville and Local 2850 may be permitted 30 pages to respond to CRA's Opposition to the Motion to Dismiss. The Parties also agree that good cause exists for CRA to file a Supplemental Reply to address the Exhibits to the Declaration of Mr. Huber, which are reflected in Emeryville's Notice of Errata to Declaration of Wei-Ling Huber in Support of City of Emeryville's Opposition to Motion for Preliminary Injunction. Good cause exists because the exhibits were inadvertently excluded from the initial filing and service, and CRA did not receive the exhibits until the afternoon of February 28, 2017—the day its Reply in Support of Motion for Preliminary Injunction was due.

28 ///

///

OAK #4836-3113-5556 v2

Case 3:16-cv-06660-JST Document 35 Filed 03/02/17 Page 3 of 4

		3
1	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
2		
3	Dated: February 28, 2017	weintraub tobin chediak coleman grodin law corporation
4		
5		By: /s/ Lukas J. Clary Lukas J. Clary
6		Attorneys for Plaintiff California Restaurant Association
7	Datad: Fabruary 28, 2017	
8	Dated: February 28, 2017	BURKE, WILLIAMS & SORENSEN, LLP
9		By: /s/ J. Leah Castella
10		J. Leah Castella
11		Benjamin L. Stock Attorneys for Defendant CITY OF EMERYVILLE
12		CITY OF EMERYVILLE
13	Dated: February 28, 2017	McCracken, Stemerman & Holsberry, LLP
14		
15		By: /s/ Paul L. More Paul L. More
16		Attorneys for Intervenor UNITE HERE Local 2850
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28 MMS &		STIPLILATION EXTENDING PAGE LIMIT
T D	п	5 DECLATION EXTENDING PAGE LIMIT

BURKE, WILLIAMS & SORENSEN, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
OAKLAND

OAK #4836-3113-5556 v2

STIPULATION EXTENDING PAGE LIMIT FOR JOINT REPLY 3:16-cv-06660-JST

Case 3:16-cv-06660-JST Document 35 Filed 03/02/17 Page 4 of 4

1	PROPOSED ORDER		
2	Defendants' Motion for Extra Pages pursuant to the Parties' Stipulation is granted.		
3	Emeryville and Local 2850 may file a Joint Reply up to 30 pages in support of motion to dismiss.		
4	CRA may file a10-page supplemental reply in support of its Motion for Preliminary Injunction.		
5	This symplemental reply is due by March 2, 2017		
6	This supplemental reply is due by March 3, 2017.		
7			
8	Dated: March 2, 2017		
9	Hon Jon S. Tigar		
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19 20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

OAK #4836-3113-5556 v2